

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/828,827 | 04/21/2004 | Max R. Motyka | 22306 | 5299 |
| 20551 7590 02/10/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | RTH & WESTERN, LLI | ARNOLD, ERNST V | | |
| 8180 SOUTH 7 SANDY, UT | 00 EAST, SUITE 200 84070 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1616 | |
| 021, 01 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/10/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/828,827 | MOTYKA ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Ernst V. Arnold | 1616 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE! | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | • |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination. | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | |
| 3) 🛛 Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04. | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) |

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner acknowledges receipt of application 10/828,827 filed on 04/21/2004. Claims 1-54 are pending and presented for Examination on the merits.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the title to the invention is included on the abstract. See MPEP § 608.01(b). The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "iron to glycine molar ratio is from about 1:1 to about 3:1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Instant claim 1 recites amino acid to metal molar ratio of from about 1:1 to 4:1.

Claim 6 recites the limitation copper to glycine molar ratio is about 2:1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Instant claim 1 recites amino acid to metal molar ratio of from about 1:1 to 4:1.

Claim 7 recites the limitation zinc to glycine molar ratio is about 2:1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Instant claim 1 recites amino acid to metal molar ratio of from about 1:1 to 4:1.

Claim 8 recites the limitation manganese to glycine molar ratio is about 2:1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Instant claim 1 recites amino acid to metal molar ratio of from about 1:1 to 4:1.

Claim 9 recites the limitation ferric iron to glycine molar ratio is about 3:1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Instant claim 1 recites amino acid to metal molar ratio of from about 1:1 to 4:1.

Claim 10 recites the limitation iron to glycine molar ratio is about 3:1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Instant claim 1 recites amino acid to metal molar ratio of from about 1:1 to 4:1.

For purposes of examination, the Examiner will interpret the claims to read upon an amino acid to metal molar ratio as recited in instant claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamoto et al. (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83(22), 4528-4532).

Instant claim 1 is drawn to a hypoallergenic metal amino acid chelate composition comprising a metal amino acid chelate including a naturally occurring amino acid chelated to a metal said amino acid to metal molar ration being from about 1:1 to 4:1.

Nakamoto et al. disclose thirty metal chelate compounds including eight different metal-glycine chelates including nickel, zinc, copper, cobalt, palladium, platinum and chromium (Abstract; page 4531, Table 1). Nakamoto et al. disclose a chromium-glycine chelate of the formula: Cr(NH₂-CH₂-COO)₃ H₂0; thus 3 glycine to 1 chromium ratio (Page 4531, Table 1).

<u>Please note</u>: With respect to the USC 102 rejection above and the rejections to follow, please note that in product-by-process claims, "once a product appearing to be substantially identical is found and a 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection [is] made, the burden shifts to the applicant to show an unobvious difference." MPEP 2113. This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 is proper because the "<u>patentability of a product does not</u> depend on its method of production." In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir.

1985). In addition, please note that the Patent and Trademark Office is not equipped to conduct experimentation in order to determine whether Applicants' hypoallergenic metal amino acid chelate composition differs and, if so, to what extent, from that of the discussed reference. Therefore, with the showing of the reference, the burden of establishing non-obviousness by objective evidence is shifted to the Applicants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lumb et al. (J. Phys. Chem. 1953, 57(7), 690-693).

Instant claim 12 is drawn to a calcium and glycine chelate where the ratio of calcium to glycine is 1:1.

Lumb et al. disclose the 1:1 chelate stability constants of calcium (instant claim 3) and glycine (instant claim 2) thus anticipating the instant composition (instant claims 1, 4 and 12) (page 692, right column "Chelate Stability Constants; and Table III).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-8, 19-21, 29-31, 38-40, 44-46, 48, 49 and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsu (US 5,504,055).

Hsu discloses metal amino acid chelates that can deliver high levels of desirable metal ions to plants and human beings (Abstract; Column 1, lines 44-50). Hsu distinctly

Application/Control Number: 10/828,827

Art Unit: 1616

claims iron, copper, zinc, magnesium, manganese and calcium as metal ions and glycine as the amino acid thus anticipating instant claims 1-8 (Column 11, lines 45-52; Column 12; lines 12-14 and 18-24 and claims 7 and 8). The mole ratio of metal ion to acid is about 1:2 (Column 2, lines 35-36). Hsu disclose a composition, and means to make the compositions, comprising ferrous iron carbonate/citric acid/glycine to produce an amino acid chelate thus anticipating the addition of citric acid (instant claims 19-21, 29-31, 53 and 54) (Column 3, lines 63-67 and column 4, lines 1-14). Hsu provides methods to synthesize the metal amino acid chelate (instant claims 38-40) (Column 3. lines 63-67 and Column 4, lines 1-14, for example). The Examiner interprets the selection of specific reagents by Hsu to produce the metal amino acid chelate as reading upon instant claims 39 and 40. Hsu administered the iron/citrate/glycine chelate to tomato plants (instant claim 46) (Column 7, lines 56-67 and column 8, lines 1-13). The Examiner interprets the selection of specific reagents by Hsu to produce the metal amino acid chelate for administration to tomato plants as reading upon instant claims 48 and 49. It is the Examiner's position that the method of Hsu et al. is the same as that claimed in instant claim 52, i.e., it results in the same composition.

Page 6

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-9, 11, 19, 22-24, 28-31, 38-40, 44-46, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ashmead et al. (US 6,426,424).

Ashmead et al. disclose compositions and methods of preparing amino acid chelates (Abstract). The amino acid ligand to metal molar ratio is from about 1:1 to 4:1 (Instant claim 1) (Column 5, lines 31-35 and column 10, lines 24-25). Ashmead et al. disclose iron, copper zinc manganese, cobalt, magnesium, chromium, and molybdenum as metal ions and provide examples of a ferrous glycine chelate, zinc glycine chelate, manganese glycine chelate, magnesium glycine chelate, copper glycine chelate as well as mixed metal/amino acid chelates in the ratios of amino acid ligand to metal ion of 2:1 to 3:1 (instant claims 2-9 and 11)(Column 8, lines 8-25 and 48-67; column 9, lines 5-67 and column 10, lines 1-16). Ashmead et al. produced a metal amino acid chelate and added to the composition maltodextrin, corn-starch and cellulose (instant claims 19, 22-24, 28-31 and 38-40, 44 and 45) (Column 9, lines 29-32). Ashmead et al. disclose that the amino acid chelates can be administered to plants by dissolution on leaves or as a soil treatment thus anticipating instant claim 46 (Column 7, lines 53-63). Obtaining metal ions and amino acids to make the composition reads upon instant claims 48 and 49.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4, 15-24, 26-31, 34-40, 43-49 and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ashmead et al. (US 4,725,427).

Ashmead et al. disclose a vitamin and mineral composition comprising amino acid metal chelate with an amino acid ligand to metal ratio of at least 2:1 and a method of preparing the vitamin and mineral composition (Column 5, line 61; column 11, lines 1-

Application/Control Number: 10/828,827

Art Unit: 1616

23 and lines 53-59; column 12, lines 1-36). The amino acid chelated minerals are selected from the group consisting of calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc, copper and manganese (Column 12, lines 18-22). Glycine is disclosed as an amino acid ligand (Column 5, lines 64-67). Thus, instant claims 1-4 are anticipated.

A powdered mixture of water soluble vitamins was prepared by blending calcium ascorbate folic acid thiamine mononitrate, sodium salt of riboflavin-5-phosphate, niacinamide pyridoxine HCI, biotin and calcium pantothenate (Column 9, lines 15-21). The powdered mixture was then blended with powdered lactose. Ascorbate is a salt of ascorbic acid. The Examiner interprets powdered lactose to be a maltodextrin and that maltodextrins can be both fillers and flow control agents thus reading on instant claims 19-24, 44 and 45. In a separate container, ethanol, propylene glycol, vegetable oil, vitamin A palmitate, vitamin D, vitamin E and cyanocobalamin were mixed until dissolution (instant claims 27) (Column 9, lines 24-34). The water-soluble vitamins were then added to the oil soluble vitamins and blended (Column 9, lines 35-43). To this mixture was added amino acid metal chelates and potassium amino acid complex thus reading on instant claims 26, 27, 29-31 and 38-40 (Column 9, lines 44-51). After blending, citric acid (instant claims 18 and 19), potassium bicarbonate and sodium bicarbonate, lime and lemon flavoring and aspartame sweetener (instant claim 28) were added and completely mixed and ultimately granulated (Column 9, lines 52-67). The granules dissolved in water to provide a pleasant tasting flavored drink thus reading on instant claims 15-18, 46, 48, 49, 53 and 54 (Column 2, lines 35-40 and column 10, lines 1-5). It is the Examiner's position that someone had to taste the composition and report

on the flavor; any subject can be susceptible to allergens upon exposure to allergens; amino acids can be purchased in pharmaceutically pure form implicitly having no allergens thus reading on the method of instant claims 43 and 52. Subjects can inherently have allergies to at least one of soy, peanuts, tree nuts, crustaceans, finfish, dairy, wheat, eggs, corn, gelatin, whey, chocolate, and strawberries. Ashmead et al. claim the method of preparing the composition (Column 11, lines 53-59 and column 12, lines 1-36 and claim 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. (US 5,504,055) in view of Cooper et al. (US 6,299,896).

The reference of Hsu et al. is discussed in detail above and that discussion is hereby incorporated by reference.

Hsu et al. do not expressly disclose a composition wherein the formulation additive is a hypoallergenic flow control agent selected from the group consisting of fumed silica, stearic acid, talc, and combinations thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,827 Page 10

Art Unit: 1616

Cooper et al. teaches a multi-vitamin nutritional supplement (Abstract). When preparing dosage forms incorporating the composition, the nutritional components are normally blended with conventional excipients such as the lubricant stearic acid.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the powder composition of Hsu et al. by adding a stearic acid lubricant as suggested by Cooper et al. to produce the instant invention.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because stearic acid is a conventional lubricant added to dosage forms known by those of ordinary skill in the art. Cooper et al. disclose powders as a suitable dosage form (Column 9, line 62).

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention and the claimed invention as a whole have been fairly disclosed or suggested by the combined teachings of the cited references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 13, 14, 32, 33, 41, 42, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashmead et al. (US 4,725,427) in view of Izumi et al. (Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 176-183).

The reference of Ashmead et al. is discussed in detail above and that discussion is hereby incorporated by reference.

Ashmead et al. do not expressly disclose a composition and method wherein the naturally occurring amino acid used to prepare the amino acid chelates is prepared by:

1) a method other than protein hydrolysis; 2) protein hydrolysis and wherein the protein used in the hydrolysis is hypoallergenic.

Izumi et al. teach multiple methods of producing amino acids including enzymatic, fermentation, extraction (protein hydrolysis) and synthetic methods (Page 176, Table 1; page 177, 2.1 Extraction Method; 2.2 Fermentation Method; page 178, 2.3 Enzymatic method; and page 179, Synthetic Method).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to obtain amino acids via one of the methods suggested by Izumi et al. for the composition of Ashmead et al. to produce the instant invention.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because Izumi et al. state these methods are the recent advances in industrial production of amino acids (Page 176, middle of right column)

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention and the claimed invention as a whole have been fairly disclosed or suggested by the combined teachings of the cited references.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13, 19-33, 38-42, 44-46, 48-51 and 53-54 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13, 17-30, 33-37, 40-46 and 49-51 of copending Application No. 10/829,468. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application is an obvious variant of copending application 10/828,827.

| 10/829,468 | Common Feature | 10/828,827 Claim Number | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Claim Number | | | |
| 1 | Metal amino acid chelate composition | 1 | |
| 2 | Naturally occurring amino acids | 2 | |
| 3 | metals | 3 | |
| 4 | Amino acid to metal ratio 1:1 to 3:1 | 4 | |
| 5 | Ferrous iron and glycine | 5 | |
| 6 | Copper and glycine | 6 | |

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 10/828,827

Art Unit: 1616

| 10/829,468 | Common Feature | 10/828,827 | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| Claim Number | | Claim Number | |
| 7 | Zinc and glycine | 7 | |
| 8 | Manganese and glycine | 8 | |
| 9 | Ferric iron and glycine | 9 | |
| 10 | Chromium and glycine | 10 | |
| 11 | Magnesium and glycine | 11 | |
| 12 | Calcium and glycine | 12 | |
| 13 | Other than protein hydrolysis | 13 | |
| 17 | Chelate with formulation additive | 19 | |
| 18 | Organic acid | 20 | |
| 19 | Citric acid | 21 | |
| 20 | filler | 22 | |
| 21 | Grain flours | 23 | |
| 22 | Flow control agent | 24 | |
| 23 | Stearic acid | 25 | |
| 24 | Free amino acids | 26 | |
| 25 | vitamins | 27 | |
| 26 | Mineral oils | 28 | |
| 27 | Naturally occurring amino acid | 29 | |
| 28 | metal | 30 | |
| 29 | Amino acid to metal molar ratio | 31 | |
| 30 | Other than protein hydrolysis | 32 | |
| 33 | Protein hydrolysis | 33 | |
| 34 | Method of preparing the metal amino acid chelate | 38 | |
| 35 | Amino acid selection | 39 | |
| 36 | Metal selection | 40 | |
| 37 | Other than protein hydrolysis | 41 | |
| 40 | Protein hydrolysis | 40 | |
| 41 | additive | 44 | |
| 42 | Additives | 45 | |
| 43 | Method of administration | 46 | |
| 44 | Amino acid selection | 48 | |
| 45 | Metal selection | 49 | |
| 46 | Other than protein hydrolysis | 50 | |
| 49 | Protein hydrolysis | 51 | |
| 50 | additive | 53 | |
| 51 | additives | 54 | |

The metal amino acid chelate composition of 10/829,468 embraces or is embraced by the metal amino acid chelate composition of the instant application as is shown in the table. It would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art that the methods and compositions of the instantly claimed invention are obvious variants of

the co-pending application based on the common elements of the claims as shown above.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Claims 1-51 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernst V. Arnold whose telephone number is 571-272-8509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,827 Page 15

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EVA

JOHN PAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
60012 1600